Origin of the decoupling of oxygen and silicon dynamics in liquid silica as expressed by its potential energy landscape

A. Saksaengwijit and A. Heuer

Institut für Physikalische Chemie and International Graduate School of Chemistry, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster,

Corrensstrasse 30, 48149 Münster, Germany

(Received 20 July 2006; published 2 November 2006)

The oxygen and silicon dynamics in silica are compared via computer simulations. In agreement with experimental data and previous simulations a decoupling of oxygen and silicon dynamics is observed upon cooling. The origin of this decoupling is studied in the framework of the potential energy landscape. From analysis of the transition features between neighboring superstructures of minima, denoted metabasins, the differences between the oxygen and silicon dynamics can be quantified. The decoupling can be explicitly related to the presence of generalized rotational processes, giving rise to oxygen but not to silicon displacement. Closer analysis of these processes yields important insight into the nature of the potential energy landscape of silica. The physical picture of relaxation processes in silica, obtained in previous work for oxygen dynamics, is consistent with decoupling effects, elucidated here.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.74.051502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.74.051502)

PACS number(s): 64.70.Pf, 67.55.Hc, 07.05.Tp

I. INTRODUCTION

Silica is a well-known prototype network glass former. It is classified as a strong liquid in the Angell scheme $[1]$ $[1]$ $[1]$ because the temperature dependence of its dynamics displays an Arrhenius behavior. Interestingly, oxygen and silicon dynamics are characterized by different activation energies. Close to the glass transition temperature T_g the difference between the self-diffusion constants for oxygen, D_O , and silicon, $D_{\rm Si}$, is nearly two orders of magnitude $[2,3]$ $[2,3]$ $[2,3]$ $[2,3]$. Moreover, it has been shown that the viscous dynamics of liquid silica close to T_g is strongly controlled by the silicon dynamics [[4](#page-7-3)]. The large difference in diffusivity indicates different relaxation mechanisms for oxygen and silicon atoms in liquid silica at low temperatures.

The decoupling of silicon and oxygen single-particle dynamics has been already studied via computer simulations of $SiO₂$ [[5](#page-7-4)] modeled by the van Beest–Kramer–van Santen (BKS) potential $[6]$ $[6]$ $[6]$. It turned out that in the limit of very high temperatures the diffusive dynamics of oxygen and silicon are similar $(D_0/D_{Si} \approx 1.3)$. Upon cooling the slowdown in silicon dynamics is significantly stronger as compared to that in oxygen dynamics. This results in a faster decrease of D_{Si} at low temperatures; e.g., at 2800 K one has $D_{\text{O}}/D_{\text{Si}}$ \approx 2.3 [[5](#page-7-4)]. This has been regarded as evidence for a *decoupling dynamics*. Interestingly, the temperature dependence of the average time scale for the lifetime of Si-O bonds follows $D_{\text{O}}(T)$ rather than $D_{\text{Si}}(T)$ [[5](#page-7-4)]. Furthermore, the self-part of the van Hove correlation function for oxygen displays a clear additional peak, which corresponds to the hopping dynamics. In contrast, no clear hopping peak is present for the case of silicon $\lceil 5 \rceil$ $\lceil 5 \rceil$ $\lceil 5 \rceil$. Moreover, a recent study on the facilitated dynamics in liquid silica $\lceil 7.8 \rceil$ $\lceil 7.8 \rceil$ $\lceil 7.8 \rceil$ shows that the cooperative motion for oxygen dynamics can be described by a stringlike motion $\lceil 9 \rceil$ $\lceil 9 \rceil$ $\lceil 9 \rceil$ whereas the usual stringlike motion is absent for the case of silicon atoms.

The potential energy landscape (PEL) has been shown to be an indispensable tool to elucidate the nature of the dynamics and thermodynamics of liquids $[10-18]$ $[10-18]$ $[10-18]$. In this framework the dynamics of the system is represented by the dynamics of a point in high-dimensional configurational space $[19]$ $[19]$ $[19]$. The thermodynamics of the system can be expressed in terms of the statistical properties of the inherent structures $(IS's)$ —i.e., the local minima of the PEL [[14](#page-7-12)[,16](#page-7-13)[,20](#page-7-14)]. Naturally, the essential features observed in the small subsystem are trivially averaged out on a macroscopic level $[21,22]$ $[21,22]$ $[21,22]$ $[21,22]$. Therefore, to understand the decoupling dynamics in terms of the PEL properties it is important to analyze sufficiently small systems without relevant finite-size effects.

Recent studies of the *thermodynamics* of this model have shown that the distribution of IS energies $G(e)$ possesses a low-energy cutoff e_c [[22](#page-7-16)]. Below e_c the configurational energy is strongly depleted as compared to the Gaussian distribution, which describes $G(e)$ for $e > e_c$. An important step to characterize the *dynamics* has been the introduction of metabasins $(MB's)$ [[12](#page-7-17)[,23](#page-7-18)]. This corresponds to a coarsegraining of the configuration space. Groups of minima between which the system performs forward and backward jumps are regarded as single states—i.e., MB's. The total dynamics can then be formally described as a sequence of jumps between MB's, each characterized by a waiting time τ and an energy *e* which is chosen as the minimum IS energy of the corresponding group of IS's. Actually, the distribution $G(e)$ of MB energies is virtually identical to that of IS such that the thermodynamics does not change when switching from the IS to the MB description. It turns out for silica that on this level of description the oxygen dynamics of the system can be (to a good approximation) characterized as a random walk in configuration space with a temperatureindependent average hopping distance $\lfloor 23 \rfloor$ $\lfloor 23 \rfloor$ $\lfloor 23 \rfloor$. This provides a strong link between long-range transport and microscopic dynamics. As an immediate consequence the temperature dependence of $D_O(T)$ is determined by the temperature dependence of the average waiting time. Furthermore, it has been shown that the diffusion and relaxation in liquid silica have been related to the defect structure $\left[23-25\right]$ $\left[23-25\right]$ $\left[23-25\right]$ since close to defects the mobility is largely enhanced.

The goal of this paper is twofold. First, we want to show why the decoupling of oxygen and silicon dynamics as well as the proportionality of the oxygen diffusion with the inverse bond lifetime is a natural consequence of the nature of the dynamics of BKS silica. It will turn out that it is the presence of rotational displacements which are the origin of the decoupling. Second, we will relate the occurrence of these specific displacements to the properties of the PEL. This will be another example where the reference to properties of the PEL gives insight into the complex dynamics of supercooled liquids.

The outline is as follows. In Sec. II we present some computational details. In Sec. III we show a detailed analysis of the nature of the MB transitions. In this way the relevance of rotational processes is elucidated. Based on these results we can unambiguously explain the decoupling of the oxygen and silicon diffusion in terms of these processes, as shown in Sec. IV. In Sec. V the rotational processes are related to properties of the PEL. We close with a discussion and a summary in Sec. VI. In particular, we show that the general physical picture of the relaxation processes in silica, as derived in our previous work $\lceil 23 \rceil$ $\lceil 23 \rceil$ $\lceil 23 \rceil$, is fully compatible with the results, obtained for the relation of the oxygen and silicon dynamics in this work.

II. TECHNICAL DETAILS

We have performed molecular dynamics simulations of liquid silica at constant volume and energy (microcanonical ensemble). The density of liquid silica is $\rho = 2.30 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, which is similar to that in previous simulations $[5,13]$ $[5,13]$ $[5,13]$ $[5,13]$. The standard BKS potential $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \end{bmatrix}$ is used to describe the interaction energy for liquid silica. The Coulombic interaction is calculated with the Ewald-summation technique. The short-range interaction is truncated and shifted to zero at the cutoff radius r_c . To avoid an energy drift r_c is set to 8.5 Å, which is slightly larger than the one used previously $[5]$ $[5]$ $[5]$. In this work we analyze the temperature range from 2800 K to 4000 K, using well-equilibrated samples. All results have been averaged over three independent runs in order to gain better statistics.

It has been shown that for BKS silica a system size of approximately 100 particles with periodic boundary conditions is sufficient to avoid relevant finite-size effects for the configurational entropy, the relaxation dynamics of BKS silica (in terms of the diffusive activation energy and the degree of nonexponentiality in the incoherent scattering function) in the accessible temperature range and the properties of tunneling systems $[22-24,26]$ $[22-24,26]$ $[22-24,26]$ $[22-24,26]$ $[22-24,26]$. As in our previous work we use a system size of *N*= 99. To characterize the distance between two configurations we use the displacement of the oxygen atoms if not mentioned otherwise.

For each equilibrium run, the system is quenched via the standard conjugated-gradient method. The times for the quench have been optimized via the bisectioning method to enable an efficient search for the precise location of the metabasin transitions $[12]$ $[12]$ $[12]$. In this way we obtain a time series of IS energies, which contains all relevant IS transitions which are necessary to characterize all MB transitions. As

FIG. 1. (Color online) The average mean-square displacement of oxygen and silicon after *n* MB transitions—i.e., $R_0^2(n_{MB})$ and $R_{\rm Si}^2(n_{MB})$. The value of n_{MB} indicates the number of MB transitions. For the weak but significant temperature dependence of $R_{\rm Si}^2(n_{MB})/n_{MB}$ in the constant regime for large n_{MB} one obtains 1.3 Å², 1.2 Å², 0.9 Å², and 0.8 Å² for the four temperatures (starting from high temperatures), respectively.

mentioned above MB's are characterized by a waiting time τ and an energy *e*. The energy of a MB is defined as the lowest IS energy in a MB (see $[27]$ $[27]$ $[27]$ for a precise definition of MB's).

For later purposes we introduce two different energy distributions, relevant at temperature $T \left[=1/(k_B \beta) \right]$:

$$
p(e,T) \propto G(e) \exp(-\beta e) \tag{1}
$$

is the Boltzmann-weighted distribution of MB energies at temperature T [[28](#page-7-24)]. It expresses the probability that at a randomly given time the system is in a MB of energy *e*. Furthermore, we define

$$
\varphi(e,T) = \frac{p(e,T)\langle \tau(T) \rangle}{\langle \tau(e,T) \rangle},\tag{2}
$$

where $\langle \tau(e, T) \rangle$ is the average waiting time of a MB with energy e . $\varphi(e, T)$ denotes the probability that after a MB transition the new MB has energy e [[12](#page-7-17)].

III. DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF OXYGEN AND SILICON ATOMS

A. Metabasin analysis

In the previous studies on the binary mixture Lennard-Jones (BMLJ) system it has been shown that the meansquare displacement $R^2(n_{MB})$ after n_{MB} transitions between MB's is independent of temperature except for extremely high temperatures for which minima of the PEL are not relevant due to the dominance of anharmonic effects $[12]$ $[12]$ $[12]$. As a consequence the diffusion constant $D(T)$ is related to the average MB waiting time $\langle \tau(T) \rangle$ via a temperatureindependent jump length *d*—i.e.,

$$
D(T) = d^2 / 6N \langle \tau(T) \rangle.
$$
 (3)

Here we repeat this analysis for the oxygen and silicon dynamics in silica by calculating $R_{\text{O}}^2(n_{MB})$ and $R_{\text{Si}}^2(n_{MB})$, re-spectively; see Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) One directly observes that $R_0^2(n_{MB})$ is

temperature independent whereas there is a significant and systematic temperature shift of $R_{\rm Si}^2(n_{MB})$. Actually, the temperature independence of $R_{\text{O}}^2(n_{MB})$ has been already used in our previous work $[23]$ $[23]$ $[23]$ to justify the use of Eq. (3) (3) (3) also for silica. Of course, the decoupling of oxygen and silicon directly follows from the different behavior of $R_{\text{O}}^2(n_{MB})$ and $R_{\rm Si}^2(n_{MB})$.

On a qualitative level these observations agree with the previous analysis of the decoupling of oxygen and silicon [[5](#page-7-4)]. By analyzing the time decay τ_B of the Si-O bond residual time, Horbach and Kob observed that $\tau_B D_O$ does not depend on temperature whereas $\tau_B D_{\text{Si}}$ shows a significant temperature dependence at low temperatures. τ_B should be proportional to the waiting time of MB's τ_{MB} , because typical MB transitions will involve bond-breaking events $[23]$ $[23]$ $[23]$. Thus the previous observations $D_{\text{O}}^{-1} \propto \tau_B$ but $D_{\text{Si}}^{-1} \notin \tau_B$ are indeed qualitatively equivalent to the results in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

But how to rationalize the temperature dependence of $R_{\rm Si}^2(n_{MB})$? The most simple explanation would be that typical silicon displacements during MB transitions are smaller at lower temperatures. In this case the temperature dependence would reflect a change of the jump mechanism with temperature. In the literature, the complicated relaxation of silicon atoms has been related to the possible presence of deep potential traps for silicon $[5]$ $[5]$ $[5]$. In this scenario, however, one would expect that there is also a systematic temperature dependence for, e.g., $R_{Si}^2(n_{MB}=1)$, which corresponds to the typical displacement after one MB transition. Interestingly, in our simulations we have not found such a temperature dependence; see Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

B. Rotational and translational periods

Here we show that a different scenario is responsible for the observations, shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) For each time series of IS's (MB's) at different temperatures, we have observed some rotational processes. More precisely this means that during the time evolution the system is found in different configurations which are identical except for a permutation of oxygen atoms. The most simple realization of rotational processes are rotations of a $SiO₄$ tetrahedral unit along the threefold (C_3) or twofold (C_2) axis but also more complex permutations have been observed.

To elucidate this possibility in a quantitative way we first define *rotational periods* as the maximum time intervals $[t_1, t_2]$ with the property that the configurations at t_1 and t_2 are identical except for the permutation of oxygen atoms. Of course, during one rotational period several rotations may take place. To characterize the dynamics during a rotational period further one can identify the different oxygen permutations. They are denoted *rotational states*. Naturally, each rotational period contains at least two rotational states but also more may be present.

In Fig. [2](#page-2-0) a sequence of IS's is shown. The different rotational states which are visited during this time period are indicated. Note that within the rotational period the system may escape from a rotational state and either come back to the same state or end up in another permutation of oxygen atoms. Typically, subsequent rotational states are not con-

FIG. 2. (Color online) The time series of IS energies at 3500 K. The translational and rotational periods are labeled by "trans" and "rot," respectively.

nected by a single saddle but rather by high-energy IS's. We note in passing that for all simulation runs we have not observed any permutation of silicon atoms. The time intervals between the rotational periods are denoted *translational periods*; see Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) Whereas the contributions from the longtime dynamics of oxygen atoms come from rotational and translational periods, the long-time dynamics of silicon atoms is exclusively related to the translational periods.

On average, many IS transitions are required for the system to go from one rotational state to another; see Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) During this time also the silicon atoms display significant motion but finally go back to the original position. From a single-atom perspective during rotational processes the position of some oxygen atoms change. Thus, rotational processes contribute to the long-range dynamics of oxygen as opposed to that of silicon. The simulation data show that rotational periods become more relevant at low temperatures (see below for a quantification of this statement). Thus, the fraction of MB transitions which finally are irrelevant for the silicon dynamics increases with decreasing temperature. From this observation it is indeed expected that $R_{\rm Si}^2(n_{MB})$ is smaller at lower temperatures as observed in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) Furthermore, a much weaker temperature dependence should occur for $R_{Si}^2(n_{MB}=1)$ because on the single-transition scale a silicon atom hardly realizes that a few MB transitions later it will go back to the original configuration. Stated differently, the strong forward-backward correlations of the silicon dynamics during the rotational periods should show up by a stronger subdiffusive behavior in $R_{\rm Si}^2(n_{MB})$ in agreement with Fig. [1.](#page-1-0)

The hypothesis that the slowing down of silicon is exclusively due to the presence of rotational periods can now easily be tested. For this purpose we have calculated $R_{\rm Si}^2(n_{trans})$ by ignoring the MB transitions during the rotational periods. Thus for this analysis we only count MB transitions during the translational periods, as indicated by the index *trans*. The result is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) Within statistical uncertainties one observes a temperature-independent behavior. This unambiguously shows that the decoupling of oxygen and silicon dynamics is *exclusively* due to the increasing relevance of rotational processes at lower temperatures. Furthermore, the nondiffusive part for small n_{trans} , reflecting deviations from a pure random-walk behavior, becomes smaller and similar in

FIG. 3. (Color online) The average mean square displacement of silicon $R_{MB,Si}^2(n)$ after *n* MB transitions. In contrast to Fig. [1](#page-1-0) only MB transitions within the translational periods are taken into account.

size to that of the oxygen dynamics in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) From the large n_{trans} behavior of $R_{Si}^2(n_{trans}) \propto n_{trans}$ the proportionality constant can be interpreted as an effective squared jump length $d_{trans,Si}^2$. It is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-3-1) As expected, no relevant temperature dependence is observed.

Is the oxygen dynamics different in rotational as compared to translational periods? Starting with the oxygen dynamics during rotational periods we first determine the number of MB transitions, $n_{rot,i}$, and the squared displacement d_i^2 during the *i*th rotational period. Formally, d_i^2 is the squared Euclidian distance between the first and last configurations of a rotational period. Then one can define the effective oxygen hopping distance $d_{rot,0}^2$ during rotational periods via

$$
d_{rot,0}^{2} \equiv \frac{\sum_{i} d_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{n_{rot,i}} n_{rot,i}}.
$$
 (4)

Its temperature dependence is also shown in Fig. [4.](#page-3-1) Again, within statistical uncertainties no temperature dependence is observed.

Formally, the total displacement of the oxygen atoms during the translational periods can be obtained from the total mean-square displacement during the whole simulation run

FIG. 4. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the rotational and translational spatial factors $d_{trans,O}^2(T)$, $d_{trans,Si}^2(T)$, and $d_{rot,O}^2$ for MB transitions (see definitions in text).

FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of $d_{succ,rot}^2$ (see definition in text).

minus the contributions from the rotational periods—i.e., $\Sigma_i d_i^2$. For the number of MB transitions *n_{trans}* in the translational periods one simply has $n_{trans} = n_{MB} - n_{rot}$. In analogy to Eq. ([4](#page-3-2)) one can define $d_{trans,O}^2$ as the effective squared hopping distance during the translational periods. Again, as seen in Fig. [4](#page-3-1) also this observable does not display any significant temperature dependence.

The ratio of $d_{trans,0}^2/d_{trans,Si}^2$ is close to three which is larger than the statistical factor of 2. Thus, the silicon dynamics is indeed somewhat more hindered than the oxygen dynamics. This reduction in mobility, however, is temperature independent for the range of temperatures analyzed in this work. Apart from the temperature independence one observes a surprising similarity of $d_{rot,O}^2$ and $d_{trans,O}^2$. This implies that, maybe in contrast to expectation, the nature of the oxygen dynamics in translational time intervals is similar to that in rotational time intervals.

C. Transitions between rotational states

In the final part of this section we discuss some properties of the transitions between rotational states. These results will shed some light on the relation between the PEL properties and the actual dynamics, as will be discussed in Sec. VI.

First, we analyze the typical distance in the configuration space between successive rotational states. For this purpose we define

$$
d_{succ,rot,O}^2 \equiv \frac{\sum_{i} d_i^2}{n_{succ,rot}},
$$
 (5)

where $n_{succ,rot}$ denotes the number of transitions between different rotational states, added over all rotational periods. Its temperature dependence is shown in Fig. [5.](#page-3-3) Upon cooling, $d_{succ,rot,O}^2$ increases. This means that the typical distance between subsequent rotational states becomes larger for lower temperatures; i.e., more complex oxygen permutations occur. As an immediate consequence on average more MB transitions are necessary at lower temperatures to reach a new rotational state within the same rotational period.

More detailed insight into the rotational dynamics can be obtained by analyzing the distribution of the mean-square

FIG. 6. (Color online) The distribution of the distances between successive rotational states at *T*= 3000 K as identified during our MD simulations. Note the logarithmic scale.

displacement between two successive rotational states (here, $T=3000$ K). This distribution is shown in Fig. [6.](#page-4-0) One can clearly see that most displacements are around 20 $A²$ which corresponds to a simple C_3 rotation. A second but significantly smaller peak at approximately 13 \AA ² reflects the exchange of two adjacent oxygen atoms. Furthermore, a very small fraction of more complex permutations with larger displacements is observed.

IV. QUANTIFICATION OF THE DECOUPLING OF OXYGEN AND SILICON DYNAMICS

In this section we first analyze the ratio of the oxygen diffusion constant to the silicon diffusion constant $D_{\rm O}(T)/D_{\rm Si}(T)$. Its temperature dependence, as obtained from our molecular dynamics simulations, is shown in Fig. [7.](#page-4-1) Included are also data from the previous MD work by Horbach and Kob [[5](#page-7-4)] and by Saika-Voivod *et al.* [[13](#page-7-20)]. Furthermore, we checked for two temperatures that for our system parameters the ratio does not depend on the system size within statistical accuracy. Note that the mild variations of this ratio for different simulations may be due to the slightly different densities or the different cutoff conditions for the pair poten-

FIG. 7. (Color online) The decoupling between oxygen and silicon dynamics. Shown is the temperature dependence of the ratio of the oxygen diffusion constant to the silicon diffusion constant, D_O/D_{Si} , as well as the theoretical estimations from Eqs. ([7](#page-4-2)) and ([8](#page-4-3)). Moreover, corresponding values from the literature $\lceil 5,13 \rceil$ $\lceil 5,13 \rceil$ $\lceil 5,13 \rceil$ $\lceil 5,13 \rceil$ are included.

tials. In any event, on a semiquantitative level all simulations display a very similar increase of $D_O(T)/D_{Si}(T)$ with decreasing temperature.

Using the quantities introduced in the previous section, we may write

$$
\frac{D_{\text{O}}(T)}{D_{\text{Si}}(T)} = \frac{n_{trans}(T)d_{trans, \text{O}}^2 + n_{rot}(T)d_{rot, \text{O}}^2}{2n_{trans}(T)d_{trans, \text{Si}}^2} = \frac{d_{trans, \text{O}}^2(T)}{2d_{trans, \text{Si}}^2} + \frac{n_{rot}(T)d_{rot, \text{O}}^2}{2n_{trans}d_{trans, \text{Si}}^2}.
$$
(6)

The factor of 2 reflects the stoichiometry of $SiO₂$. Using the results from Fig. [4—](#page-3-1)i.e., $d_{trans, O}^2/d_{trans, Si}^2 \approx 3$ and $d_{rot, O}^2$ $\approx d_{trans,O}^2$ —the ratio D_O/D_{Si} can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{D_{\text{O}}(T)}{D_{\text{Si}}(T)} \approx \frac{3}{2} \bigg(1 + \frac{n_{rot}(T)}{n_{trans}(T)} \bigg). \tag{7}
$$

This result quantifies the general statement, formulated above, that the decoupling is exclusively related to the increasing relevance of rotations at lower temperatures, as expressed by the temperature dependence of $n_{rot}(T)/n_{trans}(T)$. The estimated values of $D_O(T)/D_{Si}(T)$ according to Eq. ([7](#page-4-2)) are also included in Fig. [7.](#page-4-1) The excellent agreement with the simulated $D_0(T)/D_{\text{Si}}(T)$ constitutes a consistency check of the analysis of Sec. III.

V. ENERGETIC ASPECTS OF MB TRANSITIONS

MB's are mainly characterized by energy and residence time. In the literature it has been shown that the energy plays an important role for the dynamics $[23,27]$ $[23,27]$ $[23,27]$ $[23,27]$. Thus, one may expect that energy also affects the nature of the MB transitions in the present context. In order to check the energy dependence for rotational and translational transitions, we determine the number of MB transitions in the rotational periods $n_{rot}(e, T)$ and the translational periods $n_{trans}(e, T)$ in dependence on MB energy *e*. From Eq. ([7](#page-4-2)), $D_{\text{Si}}(T)/D_{\text{O}}(T)$ can be written as

$$
\frac{D_{\text{Si}}(T)}{D_{\text{O}}(T)} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{n_{trans}(T)}{n_{trans}(T) + n_{rot}(T)} = \frac{2}{3} \int de \varphi(e, T) P_{trans}(e, T),
$$
\n(8)

where φ (*e*,*T*) has been defined in Eq. ([2](#page-1-2)) and denotes the probability that a MB has energy *e*. Furthermore, $P_{trans}(e, T)$ is the probability that a MB of energy *e* is visited during a translational period. A small value of $P_{trans}(e, T)$ thus implies that after leaving a MB of energy *e* the system very likely will later on end up in a rotational state. The energy and temperature dependence of $P_{trans}(e, T)$ is shown in Figs. [8](#page-5-0)(a) and $8(b)$ $8(b)$, respectively. Most importantly, $P_{trans}(e, T)$ depends strongly on energy whereas it does not show any significant temperature dependence. In Fig. $8(a)$ $8(a)$ one can separate the energy dependence of $P_{trans}(e, T)$ into two regimes. In the high-energy regime one has $P_{trans}(e, T) \approx 1$. This means that no significant rotational processes happen for high-energy MB. When the MB energy decreases, rotational processes become relevant. This results in a decrease of $P_{trans}(e, T)$.

FIG. 8. (Color online) Energy (a) and temperature (b) dependence of the probability of translational relaxations $P_{trans}(e, T)$.

Interestingly, more than 90% of the transitions involving MB with energies close to the cutoff energy e_c contribute to the rotational dynamics. From the present results we have to conclude that energy not only determines the relaxation rates as shown in previous studies $\left[23,27\right]$ $\left[23,27\right]$ $\left[23,27\right]$ $\left[23,27\right]$ but also determines the relevance of rotational processes.

As a consistent check, we calculate $D_{\text{O}}(T)/D_{\text{Si}}(T)$ via Eq. ([8](#page-4-3)). The results are also included in Fig. [7.](#page-4-1) Naturally, good agreement with the actually observed $D_0(T)/D_{Si}(T)$ is found.

VI. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

In previous work on silica we were able to express the oxygen diffusion constant via

$$
D_0(T) \propto \int dep(e,T)/\langle \tau(e,T) \rangle, \tag{9}
$$

where the average waiting time $\langle \tau(e, T) \rangle$ can be written as

$$
\langle \tau(e,T) \rangle = \tau_0(e) \exp[\beta V(e)], \tag{10}
$$

with an energy-dependent attempt frequency $1/\tau_0(e)$ and an effective barrier height $V(e)$.

This set of equations implies that from the three temperature-independent quantities $G(e)$, $\tau_0(e)$, and $V(e)$ the thermodynamics as well as the temperature dependence of the oxygen dynamics (in terms of the diffusion constant) can be predicted. In particular $V(e)$ can be rationalized in terms of the local topology of the PEL $[23]$ $[23]$ $[23]$. This implies a basically complete understanding of the oxygen diffusion in

FIG. 9. (Color online) The distribution φ (*e*,*T*) of MB's for different temperatures. In the inset the temperature dependence of $\varphi_{low} = \int_{e_c}^{e_c + 1/eV} d\varrho(\varrho, T)$ is shown. It reflects the number of lowenergy MB's close to the cutoff of the PEL.

terms of properties of the PEL. In this work we have identified a further (nearly) temperature-independent function $P_{trans}(e)$ which allows one to predict the enhanced slowing down of silicon diffusion as compared to oxygen diffusion.

In the following we will argue that the results, obtained so far, are compatible with the general physical scenario of relaxation processes in silica, as formulated in $[23]$ $[23]$ $[23]$. As a main result it has been shown that there exists a crossover energy e_{cross} = -1905 eV which separates two dynamical regimes. For $e > e_{cross}$ the escape dynamics is nonactivated whereas for significantly lower *e* the system first has to climb up in the PEL to IS with energies close to *ecross* until it can effectively escape from this region of configuration space. On a qualitative level this observation can be related to the strong energy dependence of the density of MB $G(e)$ or $\varphi(e,T)$, respectively. In the considered range of temperatures much less than 1% of all configurations possess an energy less than $e_c + 1$ eV; see Fig. [9.](#page-5-1) In contrast, nearly every second MB has an energy less than *ecross*. As a consequence for MB's with $e > e_{cross}$ the PEL supplies many adjacent configurations of similar energy with connecting saddles of at most 1 eV which the system may easily reach, giving rise to nonactivated dynamics, whereas in the low-energy limit this is no longer possible due to the lack of such configurations.

For rationalizing the features, observed in this work, we discuss in qualitative terms the distribution of MB's in configuration space relative to a given MB denoted the *central* MB) with energy e_0 , visited at a given temperature *T*. We introduce the function $\varphi_{all}(e, d^2 | e_0)$ as the probability that a randomly selected MB is a distance d^2 away from the central MB and has an energy *e*. This probability distribution has two contributions

$$
\varphi_{all}(e, d^2 | e_0) = \varphi_{rot}(e, d^2 | e_0) + \varphi_{rest}(e, d^2 | e_0).
$$
 (11)

The first contribution takes into account configurations which result from oxygen permutations of the central MB. This distribution can be written as $\varphi_{rot}(e, d^2 | e_0)$ $\propto G_{rot}(d^2) \delta(e - e_0)$ where $G_{rot}(d^2)$ is the distribution of distances, when taking into account *all* possible 66! permutations. We have calculated $G_{rot}(d^2)$ for one representative low-energy configuration and have exchanged the indices of the oxygen atoms via Monte Carlo techniques. To determine

FIG. 10. (Color online) The distribution $G_{rot}(d^2)$ of all distances between configurations with oxygen permutations, as obtained from Monte Carlo simulations.

the distribution over many orders of magnitude we have used appropriately adjusted flat-histogram techniques $[29]$ $[29]$ $[29]$. The resulting distribution $G_{rot}(d^2)$ is shown in Fig. [10.](#page-6-0) One can clearly see a few peaks for smaller distances and a transition to a continuous behavior for larger distances. Naturally, the d^2 values of the peaks agree with those observed during the actual transitions between rotational states. For $d^2 \ge 100 \text{ Å}^2$ this distribution no longer shows any wiggles. This reflects the fact that a transition with a large value of d^2 can be achieved by a multitude of different oxygen permutations. In contrast, for small d^2 discrete distances can be identified which belong to specific types of reorientations (like C_3 rotations). Comparison with the actually observed transitions in Fig. [6](#page-4-0) shows that in particular more complex permutations, related to larger values of d^2 , are strongly suppressed. Interestingly, also exchange processes of adjacent oxygen atoms, corresponding to the first peak, are significantly suppressed.

The second contribution $\varphi_{rest}(e, d^2 | e_0)$ is related to the remaining MB's which have a different structure as the central MB. For sufficiently large d^2 one may naturally write $\varphi_{rest}(e, d^2 | e_0) \propto G_{rest}(d^2) \varphi(e, T)$ with $\varphi(e, T)$ defined in Eq. ([2](#page-1-2)). Qualitatively, this means that for regions sufficiently far away from the central MB the distribution of MB's is not influenced by the presence of a MB with $e = e_0$ at the origin. One expects that for large d^2 the distribution $G_{\text{rest}}(d^2)$ increases much faster than $G_{rot}(d^2)$ —i.e., with a larger exponent of d^2 —because $G_{rest}(d^2)$ contains the exponentially larger number of different IS as well their permutations $\lceil e^{i \cdot x} \rceil$ cept for those taken into account by $G_{rot}(d^2)$]. Thus, for large d^2 one expects $G_{rot}(d^2) \ll G_{rest}(d^2)$. This dramatic difference may disappear for small d^2 because the ratio of both functions becomes smaller for smaller d^2 . Furthermore, in contrast to the oxygen permutations the possible transitions to adjacent MB's are more continuously distributed with respect to their distances d^2 . As a consequence the continuous regime of $G_{rest}(d^2)$ will probably extend to much smaller values of d^2 than observed for $G_{rot}(d^2)$ —i.e., approximately 100 Å². Thus, one may speculate that for small d^2 (e.g., d^2 \approx 20 Å²), $G_{rot}(d^2)$ is of the same order as $G_{rest}(d^2)$. Of course, for a more quantitative characterization one directly has to determine $G_{rest}(d^2)$, which, however, is a formidable task.

We note in passing that the factorization of $\varphi_{rest}(e, d^2 | e_0) \propto G_{rest}(d^2) \varphi(e, T)$ will break down for $d^2 \to 0$, as known from a study of the tunneling systems $[30]$ $[30]$ $[30]$. There it turned out that for very nearby IS's $(d^2 \le 1 \text{ Å}^2)$ the energy difference does not depend on e_0 which implies that $\varphi_{rest}(e, d^2 | e_0)$ is a function of *e*−*e*₀.

With the general concepts, introduced above, the following observations have to be rationalized: (i) The presence of rotations with small values of d^2 (see Fig. [6](#page-4-0)), but the strong suppression of rotations with larger d^2 . (ii) The additional suppression of particle exchange with $d^2 \approx 13 \text{ Å}^2$. (iii) The relevance of rotations only for $e < e_{cross}$ and the increase of rotations for decreasing energy. (iv) The increase of $d_{succ,rot,O}^2$ with decreasing temperature. (v) The temperature independence of $P_{trans}(e)$. (vi) The similarity of $d_{rot,O}^2$ and $d_{trans,O}^2$.

(i) For large d^2 the function $G_{rest}(d^2)$ will be orders of magnitude larger than $G_{rot}(d^2)$. Thus for purely statistical reasons hardly any permutations with large d^2 are observed; see Fig. [6.](#page-4-0) In this context it is surprising that nevertheless a very small number of permutations with d^2 up to 80 \mathring{A}^2 are observed which from this purely statistical consideration should be extremely unlikely. Maybe there are specific pathways on the PEL which enhance the probability of specific permutations. (ii) For oxygen exchange processes massive reorientations are necessary in contrast to, e.g., effective C_3 rotations. To perform an exchange process the system would have to reach regions of the configuration space which are far away from the central MB. As a consequence, for purely statistical reasons the probability to complete the exchange process is very small. (iii) As discussed above for small d^2 the function $G_{rot}(d^2)$ may be of the same order as $G_{rest}(d^2)$. Furthermore, $\varphi_{\text{rest}}(e, d^2 | e_0) \propto \varphi(e, T)$ is very small for $e \approx e_c$ (see Fig. [9](#page-5-1)), implying $\varphi_{all}(e_0, d^2 | e_0) \approx G_{rot}(d^2) \varphi_{rot}(e_0, d^2)$ for $e_0 \approx e_c$. Thus, for statistical reasons the relevance of rotational states is significantly enhanced for very low energies. In contrast, for $e_0 \geq e_{cross}$ the system possesses a large number of adjacent IS's with similar energy such that again for statistical reasons the probability to reach one of the rotational states is very small. (iv) As a further consequence the range of distances for which the probability to find a rotational state is non-negligible increases with decreasing energy. Thus, in agreement with observation one would expect an increase of the average distance between successive rotational states with decreasing energy and thus with decreasing temperature. (v) As discussed above for the transition from one low-energy configuration $(e_0 \approx e_c)$ to a new low-energy configuration the system first has to climb up the PEL to energies close to *ecross*. This trajectory basically forms an energy-upwards path without major intermediate barriers [23]. In analogy, the subsequent path to a new low-energy configuration is not expected to possess significant barriers, in similarity to the funnel-like picture of protein folding. Stated differently, the search for the subsequent low-energy configuration is basically entropy driven so that P_{trans} , indeed, should not significantly depend on temperature. (vi) Whether or not the system ends up in a rotational state is mainly a question of the statistical availability of these states. As a consequence one would expect that the nature of the oxygen dynamics in translational and rotational periods should not differ too much. This is consistent with the observed similarity of $d_{rot,O}^2$ and $d_{trans,O}^2$.

According to Eq. (8) (8) (8) the fraction of the rotational and translational dynamics is related to the thermodynamic weighting of the MB population, $\varphi(e, T)$. Therefore, the temperature dependence of $n_{trans}(T)/n_{MB}(T)$ presented above is just a consequence of the temperature dependence of φ (*e*,*T*). It is interesting to estimate the value of D_O/D_{Si} at the glass transition temperature T_g (1450 K). From the density of MB's, $G(e)$, and waiting time $\langle \tau(e, T) \rangle$ one can predict $\varphi(e, T)$ at T_g . By using Eq. ([8](#page-4-3)) the estimated value for $D_{\rm O}/D_{\rm Si}$ at $T_{\rm g}$ is of the order of 10¹, which is one order of magnitude smaller than the experimental value of $\approx 10^2$ $[2,3]$ $[2,3]$ $[2,3]$ $[2,3]$. This discrepancy may be resolved in different ways (i) At lower temperatures further decoupling mechanisms set in. (ii) The temperature independence of P_{trans} no longer holds for temperatures closer to the calorimetric glass transition. (iii) The limits of the BKS potential to predict the details of

the oxygen and silicon dynamics in silica are reached.

The present PEL approach to characterize the dynamics of oxygen and silicon allows one to obtain a relatively simple physical picture about the oxygen dynamics as well as the silicon dynamics. The essential key ingredient to understand the onset of the decoupling of oxygen and silicon diffusion is the presence of rotational dynamics which only contributes to the oxygen dynamics and which can be rationalized in simple statistical terms in the framework of the PEL approach. Excluding the rotational periods, the difference in activation energies of silicon and oxygen disappears in the considered range of temperatures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge discussions with M. Vogel on this subject and the NRW Graduate School of Chemistry and the DFG (SFB 458) for the support of this work.

- [1] C. A. Angell, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 131-133, 13 (1991).
- [2] J. C. Mikkelsen, Appl. Phys. Lett. **45**, 1187 (1984).
- [3] G. Brebec, R. Seguin, C. Sella, J. Bevenot, and J. Martin, Acta Metall. Sin. 28, 327 (2003).
- [4] Marcio Luis Ferreira Nascimento and E. D. Zanotto, Phys. Rev. B 73, 024209 (2006).
- [5] J. Horbach and W. Kob, Phys. Rev. B 60, 3169 (1999).
- [6] B. W. H. van Beest, G. J. Kramer, and R. A. van Santen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1955 (1990).
- 7 M. Vogel and S. C. Glotzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 255901 $(2004).$
- [8] V. Teboul, A. Monteil, F. L. C., A. Kerrache, and S. Maabau, Eur. Phys. J. B 40, 49 (2004).
- 9 C. Donati, J. F. Douglas, W. Kob, S. J. Plimpton, P. H. Poole, and S. C. Glotzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2338 (1998).
- [10] M. S. Shell, P. G. Debenedetti, and A. Z. Panagiotopoulos, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 011202 (2002).
- 11 T. F. Middleton and D. J. Wales, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 024205 $(2001).$
- [12] B. Doliwa and A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. E 67 , $030501(R)$ (2003).
- 13 I. Saika-Voivod, P. H. Poole, and F. Sciortino, Philos. Mag. **84**, 1437 (2004).
- [14] F. H. Stillinger and T. A. Weber, Phys. Rev. A **25**, 978 (1982).
- [15] S. Sastry, P. G. Debenedetti, and F. H. Stillinger, Nature (London) 393, 554 (1998).
- [16] F. Sciortino, J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. (2005), P05015.
- 17 I. Saika-Voivod, F. Sciortino, and P. H. Poole, Phys. Rev. E **69**,

041503 (2004).

- [18] D. J. Wales, *Energy Landscapes* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2003).
- [19] M. Goldstein, J. Chem. Phys. 51, 3728 (1969).
- 20 E. La Nave, H. Stanley, and F. Sciortino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 035501 (2002).
- 21 B. Doliwa and A. Heuer, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15**, S849 $(2003).$
- [22] A. Saksaengwijit, J. Reinisch, and A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 235701 (2004).
- 23 A. Saksaengwijit and A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 061503 $(2006).$
- [24] L. Martin-Samos, Y. Limoge, J. P. Crocombette, G. Roma, N. Richard, E. Anjlada, and E. Artacho, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 014116 $(2005).$
- 25 N. Mousseau and G. T. Barkema, J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 960 $(2000).$
- [26] J. Reinisch and A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 155502 (2005).
- [27] B. Doliwa and A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. E 67, 031506 (2003).
- [28] In a strict sense $G(e)$ is the density of states weighted by the harmonic contributions; see Ref. $[12]$ $[12]$ $[12]$ for a more detailed discussion. For silica, however, this additional contribution is very small.
- 29 M. S. Shell, P. G. Debenedetti, and A. Z. Panagiotopoulos, J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 19748 (2004).
- [30] J. Reinisch and A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. B 70, 064201 (2004).